### Case3:01-cv-02079-VRW Document244 Filed11/07/02 Page1 of 7 MICHAEL A. LADRA, State Bar No. 64307 JAMES C. OTTESON, State Bar No. 157781 KIMBERLEY P. ZAPATA, State Bar No. 138291 MONICA MUCCHETTI, State Bar No. 164107 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI **Professional Corporation** 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 5 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 6 Attorneys for Defendants 7 and Counterclaimants NOVELL, INC. and VOLERA, INC. 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 NETWORK CACHING TECHNOLOGY, CASE NO.: CV-01-2079 (VRW) L.L.C., 13 JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT Plaintiff, CONFERENCE STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER 14 v. 15 NOVELL, INC., VOLERA, INC., AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC., CACHEFLOW, INC., 16 and INKTOMI CORPORATION. Date: November 14, 2002 17 Time: 3:30 p.m. Defendants. Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker Courtroom 6, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Location: 18 19 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS 20 21 22 The parties to the above-entitled action jointly submit this Joint Case Management 23 Conference Statement, pursuant to the Court's April 3, 2002 Order Granting Stipulation. 24 25 26 27 28

#### PROCEDURAL POSTURE OF THE CASE

Pursuant to the Court's August 13, 2002 Order, the Court ordered plaintiff Network Caching Technology, L.L.C. ("NCT") to serve revised preliminary infringement contentions ("PICs") by September 15, 2002, in conformance with Patent L.R. 3-1 and the Court's instructions. Based upon stipulation of the parties, the deadline was extended to October 15, 2002. The Court also stayed all discovery in this action until NCT served its revised PICs. NCT served its revised PICs on October 15, 2002.

NCT believes its revised PICs conform to the requirements of Patent L.R. 3-1 and the Court's Order of August 13, 2002. However, upon their review of NCT's third revised preliminary contentions, defendants Novell, Inc. Volera, Inc. Inktomi Corp., and Cacheflow, Inc. (collectively "Defendants")<sup>1</sup> believe that NCT's Third Revised Preliminary Infringement Contentions still fail to conform with the requirements of Patent LR 3-1 and the express order of this Court. As a result, defendants intend to file a Motion to Dismiss The Action For Plaintiff's Failure to Comply with A Court Order Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P., R. 41(b) (hereafter "Motion to Dismiss"). At least some defendants also intend to file motions for sanctions based upon same or similar facts to the motion to dismiss and the earlier motion to strike.

Therefore, the parties propose the following case management schedule which sets a briefing schedule as well as a schedule under Patent Local Rules 3 and 4. The parties further propose all discovery be stayed until the hearing on Defendant's motion to dismiss.

Defendant Akamai Technologies, Inc. is not a party to this submission, since they (together with plaintiff) have filed a Stipulation for Dismissal.

## PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER

	1		
2		DATE	EVENT
3		2.112	EVENT
4	5	December 18, 2002	Opening Brief on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and
5			any individual defendant's motion for sanctions
6		January 2, 2003	NCT's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
7			and Motion for sanctions
8	January 9, 2003	January 9, 2003	Reply Brief in Support of Defendants' Motion to
9			Dismiss and motion for sanctions
10	January 23, 2003	Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and motion for sanctions	
11			
12	February 24, 2003 (or 30 days after Court's ruling on the Motion to Dismiss, whichever is later)	(1) Defendants' "Preliminary Invalidity Contentions"	
13		due.	
14		(2) Defendants' related document production due.	
15		(2) Defendants Telated document production due.	
16	March 6, 2003 (or 10 days after Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, whichever is later)	Parties simultaneously exchange list of "Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction"	
17			
18			
19			
20	March 26, 2003 (or 20 days after Exchange of Proposed Terms, whichever is later)	Parties simultaneously exchange "Preliminary Claim Construction and Extrinsic Evidence"	
21			
22			
23		,	

24

1

25

26

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

26

27

DATE	EVENT
April 24, 2003 (or 60 days after Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, whichever is later)	Joint Claim Construction and Pre-Hearing Statement Due Parties
May 26, 2003 (or 30 days after Joint Claim Construction Statement, whichever is later)	Claim Construction Discovery Closes
June 10, 2003 (or 45 days after Joint Claim Construction Statement, whichever is later)	NCT's Opening Brief on Claim Construction
June 24, 2003 (or 14 days after Opening Brief, whichever is later)	Defendants' Responsive Brief on Claim Construction
July 1, 2003 (or 7 days after Response Brief, whichever is later)	NCT's Reply Brief on Claim Construction
July 17, 2003 (or to be set at least 14 days after Reply Brief at the Court's convenience)	Claim Construction Hearing

	Case3:01-cv-02079-VRW	Document244 Filed11/07/02 Page5 of 7
1 2	Dated: November 7, 2002	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation
3		
4		By: <u>/s/James C. Otteson</u> James C. Otteson
5		Attorneys for Defendants and
6		Counterclaimants NOVELL, INC. and VOLERA, INC.
7		
8	Dated: November 7, 2002	BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP
10		
11		By: <u>/s/Tarek N. Fahmi</u> Tarek N. Fahmi
12		Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
13		CACHĚFLOW, INC.
14	D . 1 N . 1 . 7 2002	
15	Dated: November 7, 2002	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
16		
17		By: /s/William L. Anthony, Jr. William L. Anthony, Jr.
18		Attorneys for Defendant INKTOMI
19		CORPORATION
20		
21	Dated: November 7, 2002	JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE
22		
23		By: <u>/s/Blaney Harper</u> Blaney Harper
24		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-
25 26		Defendant NETWORK CACHING TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.
27		
28		
-		
	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	-5- 2198624_2.DOC

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT CASE NO. CV-01-2079 VRW

# Case3:01-cv-02079-VRW Document244 Filed11/07/02 Page6 of 7 The Joint Case Management Statement and Proposed Order is hereby adopted by the Court as the Case Management Order for this action and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order. Dated: \_\_\_\_\_ HONORABLE VAUGHN R. WALKER United States District Court Judge

## I, James C. Otteson, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this Joint Case Management Conference Statement And Proposed Order. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Tarek N. Fahmi, William L. Anthony, Jr. and Blaney Harper have concurred in this filing: Dated: November 7, 2002 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI **Professional Corporation** By: <u>/s/James C. Otteson</u> James C. Otteson Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants NOVELL, INC. and VOLERA, INC.

Case3:01-cv-02079-VRW Document244 Filed11/07/02 Page7 of 7